

CHHATTISGARH STATE MINOR FOREST PRODUCE CO-OPERATIVE FEDERATION, LTD.

"Van Dhan Bhawan" Sector 24, Nawa Raipur Atal Nagar (C.G.)

E-mail: mfpfed.cg@nic.in; Website: www.cgmfpfed.org GST No. 22AABAC5137E2ZC

Corrigendum (01) - (Date 14-02-2024)

With reference to NIT No. Fed/Audit/2023/I. Date: 06.02,2024 for "Selection of CA Firm for Providing Account Management Services to CGMFPFED", following Clarification/Corrigendum is being made:

N.	RFP Clause	Page No	it/2023/I, Date: 06.02.2024 for "Selection of CA Firm for Providing Account Manag Existing Clause	Clarification/Revised clause
1	RFP Section 3- Minimum Eligibility Criteria, Clause 3.1: A (1)		Technical Capacity: 1)The firm head office should be in Chhattisgarh and practicing for a period of 5 Years or more.	This is to clarify that the head office of the CA firm being in Chhattisgarh and practicing experience of 5 years are independent conditions. It can be read as 1) The applicant firm should have head office in Chhattisgarh. 2) The applicant firm should have practicing experience of 5 years in Chhattisgarh
2	RFP: SECTION 5 – EVALUATION OF BIDS, Clause 5.1 Technical Evaluation	19	RFP Evaluation Committee will evaluate the Technical Proposals of the Bidder as per the section 3 "Minimum Eligibility Criteria". The Method of Selection shall be Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS). Bidders qualifying the pre-qualification criteria (Section 3 "Minimum Eligibility Criteria") and obtaining 60 Marks and above score in Technical evaluation as per Clause 5.2 shall be eligible for financial bid opening. RFP Evaluation Committee (TEC) will examine the bids to determine whether they are complete, whether they meet all the conditions of the tender, whether required tender document and bid processing cost and other required documents have been furnished, whether the documents have been properly signed, and whether the bids are generally in order. Any bid or bids not fulfilling these requirements shall be rejected.	in order. Any bid or bids not fulfilling these requirements shall be rejected.
3	RFP: SECTION 5 – EVALUATION OF BIDS, Clause 5.1 (2) - Accounting Experience	- 19	Experience of Account Management Services in any Government organization/authorities/corporation /owned or controlled by Government in the last 10 years (*) •More than 20 Assignments – 20 Marks •More than 10 and up to 15 Assignments - 10 Marks •Equal to 5 and up to 10 Assignments - 05 Marks	Experience of Account Management Services in any Government organization/authorities/corporation /owned or controlled by Government in the last 10 years (*) •More than 20 Assignments – 20 Marks •More than 10 and up to 20 Assignments- 10 Marks •Equal to 5 and up to 10 Assignments- 05 Marks
4	RFP: SECTION 5 – EVALUATION OF BIDS, Clause 5.1 (5) Turnover	20	Average Annual Turnover of the entity during last 3 years More than 3 Crore: 20 marks More than 2 Cr up to 3 Crore- 15 marks 1 Cr – up to 2 Crore- 10 marks	Average Annual Turnover of the entity during last 3 years More than 2 Crore: 20 marks More than 1.5 Cr up to 2 Crore- 15 marks 1 Cr — up to 1.5 Crore- 10 marks





CHHATTISGARH STATE MINOR FOREST PRODUCE

CO-OPERATIVE FEDERATION, LTD.

"Van Dhan Bhawan" Sector 24, Nawa Raipur Atal Nagar (C.G.)

E-mail: mfpfed.cg@nic.in; Website: www.cgmfpfed.org GS

Corrigendum (01) - (Date 14-02-2024)

GST No. 22AABAC5137E2ZC

	With reference to NIT No. Fed/Audit/2023/I, Date: 06.02.2024 for "Selection of CA Firm for Providing Account Management Services to CGMFPFED", following Clarification/Corrigendum is being made:					
5. No	RFP Clause	Page No	Work orders/agreements encompassing a comprehensive range of professional services, including Accounting, Taxation and Goods and Services Tax (GST), where the professional fee meets or exceeds the specified amount i.e. Rs.5 Lakhs but does not clearly bifurcation for	Clarification/Revised clause		
5	RFP: SECTION 5 – EVALUATION OF BIDS, Clause 5.1 (2,3 and 4)	19-20		1) Such assignments shall be considered as separate assignments for the categories of professional services provided by the CA firm, provided, the professional fee of such assignment is twice the eligible professional fee mentioned in the tender document 2) This is to clarify that completion certificate or letter on the clients letterhead duly signed by client mentioning professional fee and description of work shall be considered for the purpose of evaluation.		
6	RFP: SECTION 5 – EVALUATION OF BIDS, Clause 5.1 - Note		Note: 1.*For parameters S.no 2, 3 and 4 bidder should have received professional fee of INR 5 Lakh for each assignment and the assignments should have been executed in the last 10 years preceding the bid due date, will be considered for the purpose of evaluation	Note: 1.*For parameters S.no 2, 3 and 4 bidder should have received professional fee of INR 25,000/- for each assignment and the assignments should have been executed in the last 10 years preceding the bid due date, will be considered for the purpose of evaluation. For the assignments encompassing professional services comprising Accounting, Taxation and Goods and Services Tax (GST) shall qualify in all the categories mentioned above, provided, the professional fee of such assignment is above INR 50,000/-		
7	RFP:SECTION 5 — EVALUATION OF BIDS, Clause 5.2 - Technical Evaluation Criteria	20	Bidder complying with all qualification criteria set out in Section 3 "Minimum Eligibility Criteria" will only be considered technically qualified and their technical bid will be evaluated further as per clause 5.1 and financial bids of the bidders obtaining 60 Marks and above out of total allocated 100 Marks shall be opened. The Method of Selection shall be Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS). The technical evaluation shall be given weightage of 70% as described in 5.2 and 5.3 and the financial evaluation shall be given weightage 30% as described in clause 5.4 and further Combine and Final Evaluation shall be done as described in 5.5	Bidder complying with all qualification criteria set out in Section 3 "Minimum Eligibility Criteria" will only be considered technically qualified and their technical bid will be evaluated further as per clause 5.1 and financial bids of the bidders obtaining 70 Marks and above out of total allocated 100 Marks shall be opened. The Method of Selection shall be Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS). The technical evaluation shall be given weightage of 80% as described in 5.2 and 5.3 and the financial evaluation shall be given weightage 20% as described in clause 5.4 and further Combine and Final Evaluation shall be done as described in 5.5		





CHHATTISGARH STATE MINOR FOREST PRODUCE

CO-OPERATIVE FEDERATION, LTD.

"Van Dhan Bhawan" Sector 24, Nawa Raipur Atal Nagar (C.G.)

E-mail: mfpfed.cg@nic.in; Website: www.cgmfpfed.org GST No. 22AABAC5137E2ZC

Corrigendum (01) - (Date 14-02-2024)

With reference to NIT No. Fed/Audit/2023/I, Date: 06.02.2024 for "Selection of CA Firm for Providing Account Management Services to CGMFPFED", following Clarification/Corrigendum is being made:

. No	RFP Clause	Page No	Existing Clause	Clarification/Revised clause
8	RFP:SECTION 5 – EVALUATION OF BIDS, Clause 5.3	20	a)The total maximum point for evaluation of Technical Proposal is 100 numbers. Parameter and points are detailed below: - The bidders getting 60 Marks or more in Technical Evaluation clause 5.1 shall be eligible for financial bid opening	a)The total maximum point for evaluation of Technical Proposal is 100 numbers. Parameter and points are detailed below: - The bidders getting 70 Marks or more in Technical Evaluation clause 5.1 shall be eligible for financial bid opening
9	RFP: SECTION 5 – EVALUATION OF BIDS, Combine and Final Evaluation ref Clause 5.5 and sub clause 5.5.1	21	The weightage given to the technical and financial proposals are TW = 0.70 and FW = 0.30 respectively. 5.5.1Proposal will finally be ranked according to their combined technical (ST) and financial (SF) scores as follows: S = (ST x TW) + (SF x FW) Where S is the combined score, and TW and FW are weights assigned to Technical Proposal and the Financial Proposal, which shall be 0.70 and 0.30 respectively.	The weightage given to the technical and financial proposals are TW = 0.80 and FW = 0.20 respectively. 5.5.1Proposal will finally be ranked according to their combined technical (S and financial (SF) scores as follows: S = (ST x TW) + (SF x FW) Where S is the combined score, and TW and FW are weights assigned to Technical Proposal and the Financial Proposal, which shall be 0.80 and 0.20 respectively.

The above Corrigendum shall form part of the Tender documents. The Revised Tender documents are being uploaded.

Managing Director Chhattisgarh State Minor Forest Produce (T&D)

Cooperative Federation Limited

Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar (C.G)